

Developments in airport regulation in Australia and the UK

Wellington Airport Conference

7 August 2012

Kieran Murray

sapere research group limited • www.srgexpert.com

Energy & natural resources • Regulated industries • Health policy & analysis • Public administration & finance • Public policy & regulation •
Forensic accounting • Finance & valuation • Urban & regional development • Strategy & organisation • Antitrust & competition

Overview of UK and Australian regulation

- Airports regulated differently from other sectors (e.g. electricity, gas and t.com networks)
- Australia:
 - information disclosure (price monitoring) since 2002; confirmed in 2006 and 2011 reviews
 - potential for airport services to be ‘declared’ and subject to negotiate/arbitrate
- United Kingdom:
 - EU Airport Charges Directive sets charging framework incl information disclosure and consultation requirements;
 - UK Civil Aviation Bill proposes tiered licence regime incl ACD and targeted price regulation (Heathrow, Gatwick, Stansted)

Promote workably competitive market outcomes

- Aust PC emphasises importance of commercially negotiated solutions; views disclosure as informing negotiations / monitoring:
 - Warns too short, or too long, a regulatory review period and parties might not deal in good faith (considers 5 years about right)
 - Notes commercial negotiation culture taking longer to develop than it envisaged, but does not find systemic problem
- UK prefers competition, with regulation only if expected to deliver clear benefit:
 - Costs of price/service regulation likely to exceed benefits (except for London airports)
 - EU Airport Charges Directive considered excessively onerous by UK CAA – risks undermining investment incentives and commercial negotiation

Provide incentives to meet consumer demand

- PC study shows, with few exceptions, increasing productivity and increasing or constant efficiency:
 - Airports monitor passenger experience – consistent with airport's significant retail businesses
 - PC found quality of service monitoring did not suggest misuse of market power
- DfT found passenger priority is end-to-end reliability and efficiency (inc lack of delays and queues):
 - Competition resulting in better services, greater choice, and lower prices for passengers
 - Passenger experience relatively positive (with exception of London airports), though passenger expectations were low

Reflections from trends in UK and Australian airport regulation

- Regulation adapting to more dynamic aeronautical services market due to market liberalisation, evolution in business models, especially low cost carriers
- Regulatory and commercial factors limit airport market power:
 - increased consumer price sensitivity: growth in leisure travel, online booking
 - airlines' negotiating strength reinforced by ID, generic competition law
 - airport commercial success linked to passenger numbers and customer experience