IM Review Forum CPP Requirements July 2015 Lynne Taylor (representing ENA)



## **Key Topics**

- 1. Pre application process
- 2. Information to be included in a CPP application
- 3. Post application process
- 4. Complexity and compliance costs

ENA Submission (11 April 2014) 'Feedback on setting Orion's customised price-quality path' sets out detailed suggestions for changes to the CPP IM

# **Pre Application Process**

## **Objectives:**

- Improve quality of CPP applications
- Eliminate unnecessary costs and complexity
- Reduce uncertainty

#### **Solutions:**

- Remove unnecessary constraints in the information and timing requirements for verification, consultation, review, audit
- Better targeting of roles of verifier/independent engineer/auditor
- Retain verifier for post application review process (avoids rework)
- Clarify consultation expectations, and consider potential overlap with post application consultation
- Establish the DPP counterfactual (end of CPP, price path, quality)

## Information to be included in a CPP Application

## **Objective:**

• Ensure information is relevant to the applicant and stakeholders, including the Commission – reduce level of prescription

## **Suggestion – CPP proposal to include:**

- 1. Reasons for proposal
- 2. Prioritisation information
- 3. Duration of regulatory period
- 4. Consistency with CPP evaluation criteria
- 5. Price path proposal (incl. IM compliant model, data, assumptions, forecasting approaches)

- 6. Expenditure: actual & forecast (projects/programmes)
- 7. Quality standard proposal
- 8. AMP (based on ID AMP, with explanations for capex, opex & demand forecasts, plus linkages to service outcomes)
- 9. Customer consultation
- 10. Verifier/audit/certification

## Post Application Process

## **Objective:**

• Ensure process is transparent, targeted, cost effective, robust

#### **Observations from Orion CPP:**

- Summarising a complex proposal is challenging
- Must be balanced, addressing all aspects of the proposal
- Use of expert reports transparency (TOR), adequately consider views of applicant
- Tension between short and long term outcomes, ie: within and beyond CPP period
- Avoid opportunity to reinterpret IMs
- 'Top down' assessment inevitably leads to 'bottom up' investigation
- Information evolves during the assessment period

## Complexity and Compliance Cost

#### **Objective:**

- Reduce costs and complexity
- Make CPP more viable alternative to DPP

#### Solutions (more details in submission):

- Fix anomalies, errors, ambiguities in IMs
- Reduce levels of disaggregation in valuation and tax IMs for CPPs
- Comprehensively review schedules to IM:
  - Schedules B & C (cost allocation)
  - Schedules D & E (capex and opex info)
  - Schedules F & G (verifier)
- Allow for additional costs to be recovered